UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,093	06/20/2003	Kaoru Haruna	FY.50639US0A	9756
	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN STREET			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	-
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

**		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/601,093	KAORU HARUNA ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 March 2007</u> .					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>12-17,24,25,27 and 28</u> is/are allowed.					
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-11 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6,23 and 26</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Westburg (US 3,718,341 A).

Westburg discloses a ski comprising a body 11, brackets 18 with pivot points, wear bar 12, and a detachable, adjustable glide member 26 disposed on the bottom of the ski and extending below and around the wear bar at an angle and located forward and rearward of the brackets, as shown in figures 1-5.

With respect to claims 18-22, Westberg does not disclose the snowmobile comprising an engine, drive track, transmission, or steering mechanism, all of these components are conventional on snowmobiles.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,093 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

4. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westburg, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Methany (US 5,040,818 A).

Westburg does not disclose a keel with cleat. Methany does disclose a ski comprising a keel 304, 305 with recess for housing a wear bar 115 and cleat 116, as shown in figure 3. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the ski of Cook et al. with the keel, cleat, and wear bar of Methany in order to increase steering ability.

5. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westburg, as applied to claim 1 above.

With respect to claims 4 and 8, simply adding extra glide members and/or making them integral with the ski is not patentable unless it produces an unexpected result and therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have given the ski of Westburg and extra glide member and made them integral with the ski in order to increase steering control and increase strength of the connections.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 12-17, 24, 25, 27, and 28 are allowed.
- 2. Claims 6, 23, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3618

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-11, 18-23, and 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to the applicant's arguments concerning claim 1, the pivot points 18 of Westberg read on the pivot point as recited in claim 1 and therefore Westberg reads on the claim.

With respect to the applicant's arguments concerning claim 18, the arguments are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3618

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jeffrey J Restifo **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3618